

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/697,179	MITAMURA ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Krystyna Susecki	2882

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** 1<sup>st</sup> action after RCE

(1) Krystyna Susecki.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) John Garvey.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 17 May 2004

**Time:** 10:00 am

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*Original claims 8-13*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner noted a restriction error and indicated that had original claims 8-13 been restricted with claim 1 as a linking claim, original claims 8-13 could be rejoined at this point in the prosecution. Since claims 8-13 were cancelled without traverse, Examiner requested a supplemental amendment to return the subject matter of original claims 8-13 to the application.